

Equivalent Measures of Dependence*

RICHARD C. BRADLEY

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University

Communicated by L. D. Pitt

The maximal correlation between a pair of σ -fields \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} becomes arbitrarily small as $\sup\{|P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)|/[P(A)P(B)]^{1/2}, A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}, P(A) > 0, P(B) > 0\}$ becomes sufficiently small.

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, and for any event $F \in \mathcal{F}$ let I_F denote its indicator function. For any two r.v.'s X and Y with $EX^2 < \infty$ and $EY^2 < \infty$ one defines the correlation

$$\text{corr}(X, Y) = \frac{E[(X - EX)(Y - EY)]}{E^{1/2}(X - EX)^2 E^{1/2}(Y - EY)^2}$$

with $\text{corr}(X, Y) \equiv 0$ if X or Y is constant a.s. Define the following measures of dependence between σ -fields \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}$:

$$\lambda(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \sup |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)|/[P(A)P(B)]^{1/2},$$

$$A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}, P(A) > 0, P(B) > 0,$$

$$\tau(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \sup |\text{Corr}(I_A, I_B)|,$$

$$A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B},$$

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \sup |\text{Corr}(f, g)|,$$

$$f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A}), g \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{B}).$$

The quantity $\rho(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is the well-known "maximal correlation" between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} [2]. The purpose of this paper is to show that these three measures of

Received April 5, 1982.

AMS 1980 subject classifications: Primary 60A10; Secondary 60B05.

Key words and phrases: Correlation, maximal correlation, equivalent measures of dependence.

* This work was partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant MCS 81-01583.

dependence are "equivalent" in the following sense: by having any one of them sufficiently small one can make the other two arbitrarily small.

It is easy to show that the inequalities $0 \leq \lambda(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \leq \tau(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \leq 1$ and $\tau(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \leq 2\lambda(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ always hold. Our task is completed once we prove

THEOREM 1. *For any probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ and any two σ -fields \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}$, $\rho(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \leq 13[\tau(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})]^{1/31}$.*

This inequality is not close to being sharp; instead "sharpness" is sacrificed in order to keep the proof simple.

Theorem 1 has the following simple application in stochastic processes: Suppose $(X_k, k = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots)$ is a sequence of random variables, and for each integer J let \mathcal{P}_J (resp. \mathcal{F}_J) denote the σ -field generated by the block of r.v.'s $(X_k, k \leq J)$ (resp. $(X_k, k \geq J)$). Then the following three mixing conditions are equivalent:

$$\lambda(n) \equiv \sup_J \lambda(\mathcal{P}_J, \mathcal{F}_{J+n}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\tau(n) \equiv \sup_J \tau(\mathcal{P}_J, \mathcal{F}_{J+n}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\rho(n) \equiv \sup_J \rho(\mathcal{P}_J, \mathcal{F}_{J+n}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The latter one $\rho(n) \rightarrow 0$ has been studied in many articles; see, for example, [1, 3, 4].

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are σ -fields, and let $t = \tau(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. If $t = 0$ or $t \geq 13^{-31}$, then Theorem 1 is trivial; so we assume

$$0 < t < 13^{-31}. \quad (1)$$

Suppose f and g are r.v.'s of the form

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^I f_i I_{A(i)}, \quad g = \sum_{j=1}^J g_j I_{B(j)},$$

where the following conditions are satisfied: $I \geq 2$ and $J \geq 2$; $\{A(1), \dots, A(I)\}$ and $\{B(1), \dots, B(J)\}$ are each a partition of Ω ; $\forall i, A(i) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $P(A(i)) > 0$; $\forall j, B(j) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $P(B(j)) > 0$; $f_1 < f_2 < \dots < f_I$ and $g_1 < g_2 < \dots < g_J$ are real numbers; $Ef = Eg = 0$ and (as is implied by the above conditions) $\text{var } f > 0$ and $\text{var } g > 0$. Modulo addition by constants, such r.v.'s are dense in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{B})$, respectively, and hence to prove Theorem 1 it suffices to prove $|\text{corr}(f, g)| \leq 13t^{1/31}$. Now the r.v. $-f$ can be expressed in

the same way as f , and $\text{corr}(-f, g) = -\text{corr}(f, g)$, so to prove Theorem 1 it suffices to prove

$$\text{corr}(f, g) \leq 13t^{1/31}. \quad (2)$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, I-1$ define the event $C(i) = \bigcup_{k=1}^i A(k)$, the positive numbers $q_i \equiv f_{i+1} - f_i$ and $c_i \equiv P(C(i))$, and the r.v. $V_i \equiv c_i - I_{C(i)}$. For each $j = 1, \dots, J-1$ define the event $D(j) \equiv \bigcup_{l=1}^j B(l)$, the positive numbers $r_j \equiv g_{j+1} - g_j$ and $d_j \equiv P(D(j))$, and the r.v. $W_j \equiv d_j - I_{D(j)}$. Note that $EV_i = 0$, $\forall i$ and $EW_j = 0$, $\forall j$. Keeping in mind that $Ef = Eg = 0$ by assumption, one can easily show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I-1} q_i V_i = f, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} r_j W_j = g. \quad (3)$$

We need to define two functions on the unit square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$:

$$M(x, y) = \min\{x(1-y), y(1-x)\},$$

$$H(x, y) = \min\{M(x, y), t[x(1-x)y(1-y)]^{1/2}\}.$$

For each i and j , $\text{corr}(V_i, W_j) = \text{corr}(I_{C(i)}, I_{D(j)}) \leq t$, and by taking into account the additional restriction that $P(C(i) \cap D(j)) \leq \min\{P(C(i)), P(D(j))\}$ we find that $EV_i W_j \leq H(c_i, d_j)$. Hence by (3),

$$Efg \leq \sum_{i=1}^{I-1} \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} q_i r_j H(c_i, d_j).$$

Also it is easy to show that if $1 \leq i, k \leq I-1$, then $EV_i V_k = M(c_i, c_k)$, and if $1 \leq j, l \leq J-1$, then $EW_j W_l = M(d_j, d_l)$. Hence by (3),

$$Ef^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{I-1} \sum_{k=1}^{I-1} q_i q_k M(c_i, c_k), \quad Eg^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \sum_{l=1}^{J-1} r_j r_l M(d_j, d_l).$$

For each $\delta > 0$ define the functions $a_\delta(\cdot)$ and $b_\delta(\cdot)$ on $[0, 1]$ by

$$a_\delta(x) = (2\delta)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{I-1} q_i I_{[c(i)-\delta, c(i)+\delta]}(x),$$

$$b_\delta(x) = (2\delta)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} r_j I_{[d(j)-\delta, d(j)+\delta]}(x),$$

where $c(i) \equiv c_i$ and $d(j) \equiv d_j$. Note that these functions are nonnegative.

From the definitions above, we have $0 < c_i < 1$, $\forall i$, $1 \leq i \leq I-1$, and

$0 < d_j < 1$, $\forall j$, $1 \leq j \leq J-1$. Also $H(x, y)$ and $M(x, y)$ are each continuous on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. It follows that

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 a_\delta(x) b_\delta(y) H(x, y) dy dx = \sum_{i=1}^{I-1} \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} q_i r_j H(c_i, d_j) \geq Efg,$$

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 a_\delta(x) a_\delta(y) M(x, y) dy dx = Ef^2,$$

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 b_\delta(x) b_\delta(y) M(x, y) dy dx = Eg^2.$$

Hence to prove Theorem 1 it suffices to prove the following technical lemma (see (2)):

LEMMA 0. *Suppose $a(\cdot)$ and $b(\cdot)$ are nonnegative integrable Borel functions on $[0, 1]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 a(x) b(y) H(x, y) dy dx &\leq 13t^{1/31} \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^1 a(x) a(y) M(x, y) dy dx \right]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^1 b(x) b(y) M(x, y) dy dx \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of this article is devoted to proving Lemma 0. First define the parameter $u = t^{6/31}$.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$ and all of the following conditions hold: (i) $x \geq uy$, (ii) $y \geq ux$, (iii) $(1-x) \geq u(1-y)$, and (iv) $(1-y) \geq u(1-x)$. Then $H(x, y) \leq (t/u) M(x, y)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume $0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1$. From (i) and (iv) we then have $u^2 y(1-x) \leq x(1-y) = M(x, y)$. Hence $H(x, y) \leq t[x(1-x)y(1-y)]^{1/2} \leq (t/u) M(x, y)$, and Lemma 1 is proved.

Let R denote the subset of $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ determined by the four inequalities (i)–(iv) in Lemma 1. Subset R consists of a rhombus and its interior. To prove Lemma 0, we shall first get an upper bound for $\iint a(x) b(y) M(x, y) dy dx$ when the integral is taken over R (Lemma 2), and another upper bound when the integral is taken over the complement $([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) - R$ (Lemma 3). At the very end we shall combine Lemmas 1–3 to verify Lemma 0 (and thus complete the proof of Theorem 1).

For any two nonnegative integrable Borel functions $a(\cdot)$ and $b(\cdot)$ on $[0, 1]$ and any Borel subset S of $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ define the integral

$$G_{ab}(S) = \iint_{(x,y) \in S} a(x) b(y) M(x, y) dy dx.$$

The unit square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ itself will henceforth be denoted SQU.

LEMMA 2. *If $a(\cdot)$ and $b(\cdot)$ are nonnegative integrable Borel functions on $[0, 1]$, then*

$$G_{ab}(R) \leq 2u^{-4} [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2}.$$

Proof. By (1) and the definition of u , $u < 13^{-6}$. Define the following subintervals $\gamma(n)$, $n = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots$ of $[0, 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(n) &= [u^{n+2}, u^n], & \text{if } n \geq 1, \\ &= [u^2, 1 - u^2], & \text{if } n = 0, \\ &= [1 - u^{-n}, 1 - u^{-n+2}], & \text{if } n \leq -1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that $R \subset \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\} \cup (\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n)])$. Also it is easy to show that for each fixed n , if $(x, y) \in \gamma(n) \times \gamma(n)$, then $M(x, y) \geq u^4 \max\{x(1-y), y(1-x)\}$. (If $n \neq 0$, then the u^4 can even be replaced by $u^2(1 - u^{|n|})$.)

For each fixed n ,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ab}(\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n)) &= [[G_{ab}(\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n))]^{1/2}]^2 \\ &\leq \left[\int_{\gamma(n)} \int_{\gamma(n)} a(x) b(y) x(1-y) dy dx \right]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{\gamma(n)} \int_{\gamma(n)} a(w) b(z) z(1-w) dz dw \right]^{1/2} \\ &= \left[\int_{\gamma(n)} \int_{\gamma(n)} a(w) a(x) (1-w) x dx dw \right]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{\gamma(n)} \int_{\gamma(n)} b(y) b(z) (1-y) z dz dy \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq u^{-4} [G_{aa}(\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n)) G_{bb}(\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n))]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

When $|m - n| \geq 2$ the squares $\gamma(m) \times \gamma(m)$ and $\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n)$ do not overlap (except at corner points when $|m - n| = 2$), and hence

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} G_{aa}(\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n)) = \left(\sum_{n \text{ even}} + \sum_{n \text{ odd}} \right) G_{aa}(\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n)) \leq 2G_{aa}(\text{SQU}).$$

Similarly $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} G_{bb}(\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n)) \leq 2G_{bb}(\text{SQU})$. Hence by Cauchy's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ab}(R) &\leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} G_{ab}(\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n)) \\ &\leq u^{-4} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [G_{aa}(\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n)) G_{bb}(\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n))]^{1/2} \\ &\leq u^{-4} \left[\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} G_{aa}(\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n)) \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} G_{bb}(\gamma(n) \times \gamma(n)) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2u^{-4} [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 2 is proved.

Define the set $Q = (\text{SQU}) - R$.

LEMMA 3. *If $a(\cdot)$ and $b(\cdot)$ are nonnegative integrable Borel functions on $[0, 1]$, then*

$$G_{ab}(Q) \leq 11u^{1/6} [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon = u^{1/6} = t^{1/31}$. By (1) we have $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{13}$, an inequality that will be used later (without being mentioned again).

Let us define the following closed regions in SQU:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(1) &= [1 - \varepsilon, 1] \times [0, \varepsilon], & \beta(2) &= [0, \varepsilon] \times [1 - \varepsilon, 1], \\ \beta(3) &= [\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon] \times [0, \varepsilon^3], & \beta(4) &= [0, \varepsilon^3] \times [\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon], \\ \beta(5) &= [\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon] \times [1 - \varepsilon^3, 1], & \beta(6) &= [1 - \varepsilon^3, 1] \times [\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon], \\ \beta(7) &= \{(x, y): 0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon, 0 \leq y \leq ux\}, \\ \beta(8) &= \{(x, y): 0 \leq y \leq \varepsilon, 0 \leq x \leq uy\}, \\ \beta(9) &= \{(x, y): 0 \leq 1 - x \leq \varepsilon, 0 \leq 1 - y \leq u(1 - x)\}, \\ \beta(10) &= \{(x, y): 0 \leq 1 - y \leq \varepsilon, 0 \leq 1 - x \leq u(1 - y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that $Q \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{10} \beta(k)$ and hence $G_{ab}(Q) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{10} G_{ab}(\beta(k))$. To prove Lemma 3 we shall get an upper bound on each of the numbers $G_{ab}(\beta(k))$.

To start with $\beta(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{ab}(\beta(1)) &= \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 \int_0^\varepsilon a(x) b(y) (1-x) y \, dy \, dx \\
 &= \left[\int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 a(x) a(w) (1-x)(1-w) \, dw \, dx \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\int_0^\varepsilon \int_0^\varepsilon b(y) b(z) yz \, dz \, dy \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \left[\int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 a(x) a(w) [\varepsilon/(1-\varepsilon)] M(x, w) \, dw \, dx \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\int_0^\varepsilon \int_0^\varepsilon b(y) b(z) [\varepsilon/(1-\varepsilon)] M(y, z) \, dz \, dy \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\leq [\varepsilon/(1-\varepsilon)] \cdot [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The inequality $G_{ab}(\beta(2)) \leq [\varepsilon/(1-\varepsilon)] [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2}$ can be established by a similar argument, and since $2\varepsilon/(1-\varepsilon) < 3\varepsilon$ we have

$$G_{ab}(\beta(1)) + G_{ab}(\beta(2)) \leq 3\varepsilon [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2}. \quad (4)$$

Next we shall get a bound on $G_{ab}(\beta(3))$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{ab}(\beta(3)) &= \left[\int_\varepsilon^{1-\varepsilon} \int_0^{\varepsilon^3} a(x) b(y) (1-x) y \, dy \, dx \right] \\
 &= \left[\int_\varepsilon^{1-\varepsilon} \int_\varepsilon^{1-\varepsilon} a(w) a(x) (1-w)(1-x) \, dw \, dx \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\int_0^{\varepsilon^3} \int_0^{\varepsilon^3} b(y) b(z) yz \, dz \, dy \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \left[\int_\varepsilon^{1-\varepsilon} \int_\varepsilon^{1-\varepsilon} a(w) a(x) [(1-\varepsilon)/\varepsilon] M(w, x) \, dw \, dx \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\int_0^{\varepsilon^3} \int_0^{\varepsilon^3} b(y) b(z) [\varepsilon^3/(1-\varepsilon^3)] M(y, z) \, dz \, dy \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \varepsilon [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

since $(1 - \varepsilon)/(1 - \varepsilon^3) \leq 1$. The inequality $G_{ab}(\beta(k)) \leq \varepsilon [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2}$ can be established for $k = 4, 5, 6$ by similar arguments. Hence

$$\sum_{k=3}^6 G_{ab}(\beta(k)) \leq 4\varepsilon [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2}. \quad (5)$$

Now we shall get an upper bound on $G_{ab}(\beta(7))$; the argument for this will be a little longer than for $G_{ab}(\beta(k))$, $1 \leq k \leq 6$. Some preliminary work has to be done first.

For each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, let

$$a_n = \int_{\varepsilon^{n+1}}^{\varepsilon^n} a(x) dx, \quad b_n = \int_{\varepsilon^{n+1}}^{\varepsilon^n} b(x) dx.$$

If $n \geq 1$ and $(x, y) \in [\varepsilon^{n+1}, \varepsilon^n] \times [\varepsilon^{n+1}, \varepsilon^n]$, then $M(x, y) \geq \varepsilon^{n+1}(1 - \varepsilon)$. Hence for each $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{aa}([\varepsilon^{n+1}, \varepsilon^n] \times [\varepsilon^{n+1}, \varepsilon^n]) \\ \geq \varepsilon^{n+1}(1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\varepsilon^{n+1}}^{\varepsilon^n} \int_{\varepsilon^{n+1}}^{\varepsilon^n} a(x) a(y) dy dx = \varepsilon^{n+1}(1 - \varepsilon) a_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

and since the squares $[\varepsilon^{n+1}, \varepsilon^n] \times [\varepsilon^{n+1}, \varepsilon^n]$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, do not overlap (except at corner points) we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{aa}([\varepsilon^{n+1}, \varepsilon^n] \times [\varepsilon^{n+1}, \varepsilon^n]) \leq G_{aa}(\text{SQU})$ and hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^n a_n^2 \leq \varepsilon^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} G_{aa}(\text{SQU}). \quad (6)$$

An analogous argument also gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^n b_n^2 \leq \varepsilon^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} G_{bb}(\text{SQU}). \quad (7)$$

Now if $1 \leq m < n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ab}([\varepsilon^{m+1}, \varepsilon^m] \times [\varepsilon^{n+1}, \varepsilon^n]) \\ = \int_{\varepsilon^{m+1}}^{\varepsilon^m} \int_{\varepsilon^{n+1}}^{\varepsilon^n} a(x) b(y) y(1 - x) dy dx \leq \varepsilon^n a_m b_n \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that

$$\beta(7) \subset ([0, \varepsilon] \times \{0\}) \cup \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=m+6}^{\infty} ([\varepsilon^{m+1}, \varepsilon^m] \times [\varepsilon^{n+1}, \varepsilon^n]) \right)$$

and since $G_{ab}([0, \varepsilon] \times \{0\}) = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{ab}(\beta(7)) &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m+6}^{\infty} G_{ab}([\varepsilon^{m+1}, \varepsilon^m] \times [\varepsilon^{n+1}, \varepsilon^n]) \\
 &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m+6}^{\infty} \varepsilon^n a_m b_n \\
 &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m+6}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{(n-m)/2} [\varepsilon^m a_m^2 \varepsilon^n b_n^2]^{1/2} \\
 &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=6}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{l/2} [\varepsilon^m a_m^2 \varepsilon^{m+l} b_{m+l}^2]^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \sum_{l=6}^{\infty} \left(\varepsilon^{l/2} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^m a_m^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{m+l} b_{m+l}^2 \right]^{1/2} \right) \\
 &\leq \sum_{l=6}^{\infty} (\varepsilon^{l/2} \varepsilon^{-1} (1-\varepsilon)^{-1} [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2})
 \end{aligned}$$

by (6) and (7). Since $\sum_{l=6}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{l/2} \varepsilon^{-1} (1-\varepsilon)^{-1} = [\varepsilon^3 / (1-\varepsilon^{1/2})]$
 $\varepsilon^{-1} (1-\varepsilon)^{-1} < \varepsilon$ we have

$$G_{ab}(\beta(7)) \leq \varepsilon [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2}.$$

By similar arguments one can establish $G_{ab}(\beta(k)) \leq \varepsilon [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2}$ for $k = 8, 9, 10$ and hence

$$\sum_{k=7}^{10} G_{ab}(\beta(k)) \leq 4\varepsilon [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2}.$$

Hence by (4) and (5),

$$G_{ab}(Q) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{10} G_{ab}(\beta(k)) \leq 11\varepsilon [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2}$$

and Lemma 3 is proved.

To complete the proof of Lemma 0, observe that by Lemmas 1-3,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^1 \int_0^1 a(x) b(y) H(x, y) dy dx \\
 &= \iint_R a(x) b(y) H(x, y) dy dx + \iint_Q a(x) b(y) H(x, y) dy dx \\
 &\leq (t/u) G_{ab}(R) + G_{ab}(Q)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (t/u) 2u^{-4} [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2} \\
&\quad + 11u^{1/6} [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2} \\
&= 13t^{1/31} [G_{aa}(\text{SQU}) G_{bb}(\text{SQU})]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 0 is proved. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks A. Torchinsky, C. Srinivasan, and R. A. Davis for their comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] BRADLEY, R. C. (1980). A remark on the central limit question for dependent random variables. *J. Appl. Probab.* **17** 94–101.
- [2] GEBELEIN, H. (1941). Das statistische Problem der Korrelation als Variations— und Eigenwertproblem und sein Zusammenhang mit der Ausgleichungsrechnung. *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **21** 364–379.
- [3] IBRAGIMOV, I. A. (1975). A note on the central limit theorem for dependent random variables. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **20** 135–141.
- [4] IBRAGIMOV, I. A. AND ROZANOV, YU. A. (1978). *Gaussian Random Processes*. Applications of Mathematics No. 9. Springer-Verlag, New York/Heidelberg/Berlin.